

# OFFENDER PROFILING

R O R E N C R D J U T S N S U N S O L  
E A B A S O I H V U S C N T N E E D R  
N T R I N T I E B C S I S Z H N E T M  
E O E Z A O V T K E S T T O A A T S E  
N E S E R A S R C C V S W T T M T E S  
C S U I I D C C O E T I T O N M S P H  
E R D C O I C I M E L R D O R E N D C  
E E A I T A T W R N A E I E S L B P R  
E C N A V E L E R C I T S I N O D E H  
P U M O T T O B T T U C I S D C P I M  
V S I S C T O I M B P A Z N S D E J T  
C S R E Y E V P I H S R E D A E L R C  
A U O P B E O R D E V A H L E L R E W  
S S E C N E T C H O A H M Y S R B E H  
A S O E S T T O R I W C H E Y I E A O  
O N S H A D O W Y O E N S C H E S E P  
M S U I D Z I N S U Y N E R R C O R C  
O I I U V I E D T A D O E N B E S U V  
T A L H V L T T T O L E I S R S R H T

TOP DOWN – US APPROACH TO OFFENDING

BOTTOM UP – US APPROACH TO OFFENDING

STEREOTYPES – A BIAS AGAINST GROUPS OF PEOPLE

SCHEMAS – PRE-EXISTING NOTIONS OF EVENTS HELD IN THE JURORS MIND

EVIDENCE – FACTS USED IN COURT TO PROVE INNOCENCE/GUILT

LEADERSHIP – THE QUALITY THAT THE FOREMAN WHO IS RESPONSIBLE FOR CALLING VOTES MUST HAVE

MOCK – TYPE OF RESEARCH JURY WHEN INDIVIDUALS ARE GIVEN A SUMMARISED SCENARIO AND ASKED TO MAKE A JUDGEMENT

SHADOW – TYPE OF RESEARCH JURY WHEN INDIVIDUALS WHO ARE ELIGIBLE FOR JURY SERVICE ARE ASKED TO SIT IN THE PUBLIC GALLERY AND THEN THEIR DELIBERATIONS AFTERWARDS ARE RECORDED

SELECTION – THE PROCEDURE WHERE THE JURY IS CHOSEN

CHARACTERISTICS – INDIVIDUAL PRECONCEPTIONS, IDEAS AND NOTIONS

SIZE – ANOTHER FACTOR REGARDING THE COMPOSITION OF THE GROUP

ATTRACTIVENESS – THE MAIN INFLUENCE OF THE DEFENDANT ON THE JURY

HEDONISTIC RELEVANCE – WHEN THE CONSEQUENCES OF THE ACT ARE USED RATHER THAN THE BEHAVIOUR

JUST WORLD – BELIEF IN “WHAT GOES AROUND COMES AROUND”