

OFFENDER PROFILING

R O R E N C R D J U T S N S U N S O L
E A B A S O I H V U S C N T N E E D R
N T R I N T I E B C S I S Z H N E T M
E O E Z A O V T K E S T T O A A T S E
N E S E R A S R C C V S W T T M T E S
C S U I I D C C O E T I T O N M S P H
E R D C O I C I M E L R D O R E N D C
E E A I T A T W R N A E I E S L B P R
E C N A V E L E R C I T S I N O D E H
P U M O T T O B T T U C I S D C P I M
V S I S C T O I M B P A Z N S D E J T
C S R E Y E V P I H S R E D A E L R C
A U O P B E O R D E V A H L E L R E W
S S E C N E T C H O A H M Y S R B E H
A S O E S T T O R I W C H E Y I E A O
O N S H A D O W Y O E N S C H E S E P
M S U I D Z I N S U Y N E R R C O R C
O I I U V I E D T A D O E N B E S U V
T A L H V L T T T O L E I S R S R H T

US APPROACH TO OFFENDING

UK APPROACH TO OFFENDING

A BIAS AGAINST GROUPS OF PEOPLE

PRE-EXISTING NOTIONS OF EVENST HELD IN THE JURORS MIND

FACTS USED IN COURT TO PROVE INNOCENCE/GUILT

THE QUALITY THAT THE FOREMAN WHO IS RESPONSIBLE FOR CALLING VOTES MUST HAVE

TYPE OF RESEARCH JURY WHEN INDIVIDUALS ARE GIVEN A SUMMARISED SCENARIO AND ASKED TO MAKE A JUDGEMENT

TYPE OF RESEARCH JURY WHEN INDIVIDUALS WHO ARE ELIGIBLE FOR JURY SERVICE ARE ASKED TO SIT IN THE PUBLIC GALLERY AND THEN THERE DELIBERATIONS AFTERWARDS ARE RECORDED

THE PROCEDURE WHERE THE JURY IS CHOSEN

INDIVIDUAL PRECONCEPTIONS, IDEAS AND NOTIONS

ANOTHER FACTOR REGARDING THE COMPOSITION OF THE GROUP

THE MAIN INFLUENCE OF THE DEFENDANT ON THE JURY

WHEN THE CONSEQUENCES OF THE ACT ARE USED RATHER THAN THE BEHAVIOUR

BELIEF IN "WHAT GOES AROUND COMES AROUND"